



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	Э.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/016,700		11/02/2001	David Carroll Challener	RPS9 2001 0400 US2	4416
45211	7590	06/16/2005		EXAMINER	
KELLY I		ZIK REST & MINICK PC	NGUYEN, CINDY		
PO BOX 50784			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DALLAS,	DALLAS, TX 75201			2161	
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/016,700	CHALLENER, DAVID CARROLL					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Cindy Nguyen	2171					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO msions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the mod patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from atute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	04/21/05.						
·		his action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8,18-25 and 27</u> is/are pending ir	n the application.	,					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) <u>9=17</u> is/are allowed.							
_	Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,18-25 and 27</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) 7 and 26 is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)□ ′	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ⊠ ′	☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 November 2001</u> is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)[1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 								
Attachment	• •	_						
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

In view of the appeal brief filed on 04/24/05, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. The options set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
 - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

1. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-6, 8, 18-25 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ober et al. (US 6307936).

Art Unit: 2161

Regarding claims 1 and 18, Ober discloses: In a data processing system, a method comprising the steps of creating a migratable storage tree with a storage root key (col. 5, lines 59 to col. 6, lines 12, Ober); and creating a non-migratable storage tree with the storage root key (col. 6, lines 25-39, Ober), wherein the migratable storage tree and the non-migratable storage tree are identically structured with corresponding keys and authentication data (col. 6, lines 65 to col. 7, lines 8, Ober).

Regarding claims 2 and 19, all the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claims 1 and 18. In addition, Ober discloses: wherein the migratable storage tree and the no migratable storage tree are created by a trusted computing module in accordance with Trusted Computing Platform Alliance (col. 6, lines 49-65, Ober).

Regarding claims 3 and 20, all the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claims 1 and 18. In addition, Ober discloses: wherein the migratable storage tree comprises migratable keys and a user key, wherein the non-migratable storage tree comprises non-migratable keys and a user key (col. 6, lines 1-39, Ober).

Regarding claims 4 and 22, all the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claims 1 and 18. In addition, Ober discloses: wherein the non-migratable storage tree will include non-migratable storage keys corresponding to each migratable storage key in the migratable storage tree (col. 6, lines 49 to col. 7, lines 8, Ober).

Regarding claims 5 and 24, all the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claims 1 and 18. In addition, Ober discloses: wherein use authorization

Application/Control Number: 10/016,700

Art Unit: 2161

in the non-migratable storage tree will be identical to use authorization in the migratable storage tree (col. 7, lines 51-65, Ober).

Regarding claim 6, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 1. In addition, Ober discloses: further comprising the steps of requesting a migratable storage key (col. 10, lines 64 to col. 11, lines 2, Ober); and requesting a non-migratable storage key (10, 37-54, Ober).

Regarding claim 21, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 18 above. In addition, Ober discloses: wherein the migratable storage tree comprises migratable keys and encrypted user data wherein the non-migratable storage tree comprises non-migratable keys and encrypted user data (col. 5, lines 44-67, Ober).

Regarding claim 23, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 18 above. In addition, Ober discloses: wherein the non migratable storage tree will include non-migratable storage keys corresponding to a subset of the migratable storage keys in the migratable storage tree (col. 6, lines 25-36, Ober).

Regarding claim 25, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 18 above. In addition, Ober discloses: wherein use authorization in the non-migratable storage tree can be deduced from user authorization in the migratable storage tree with additional data (col. 6, lines 1-12, Ober).

Regarding claim 27, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 18 above. In addition, Ober discloses: wherein a migratable key can be transferred to other trusted platform module chips (col. 5, lines 22-29, Ober) and

Art Unit: 2161

wherein a non-migratable key cannot be transferred to other trusted platform module chips (col. 6, lines 25-39, Ober)

3. Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art of record and that encountered while searching for the claimed invention fails to anticipate and/or suggest: in a data processing system, a method comprising wherein the step of requesting a non-migratable storage key will identify a parent key in the non-migratable storage tree that corresponds to the parent key in the migratable storage tree.

Claims 9-17 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record and that encountered while searching for the claimed invention fails to anticipate and/or suggest: in a data processing system, a method comprising the steps of wherein the second command requests creating a non-migratable storage key with the given authentication data and a second parent key which is determined from looking up a key that corresponds to the first parent key in a database as recited in claim 9.

Regarding claims 10-17, these claims depend from claim 9 and are therefore allowable.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record and that encountered while searching for the claimed invention fails to anticipate and/or suggest: in a data processing system, a method

comprising wherein the use authorization in the non-migratable storage tree is obtained by hashing the concatenation of the user authorization in the migratable storage tree with a fixed string as recited in claim 26.

4. Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Public key infrastructure, Streetman et al., ATI IPT Special report 00-06, April 2000.

Lam (U.S 5564037). Real time data migration system and method employing sparse files.

Ginter et al. (U.S 6658568). Trusted infrastructure support system, method and techniques for secure electronic commerce transaction and rights management.

Brown et al. (U.S 6618806). System and method for authenticating users in a computer network.

5. Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cindy Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-4698. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on 703-308-1436. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9306 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 10/016,700

Art Unit: 2161

Page 7

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

CN

Cindy Nguyen June 9, 2005

FRANTZ COBY PRIMARY EXAMINER